SJS 44 (Rev. 12/07, NJ 5/08)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating

| the civil docket sheet. (SEE I | NSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVE | RSE OF THE FORM.) | | | | |
|--|--|--|-------------|---|--|--|
| I. (a) PLAINTIFFS ANGELA MCLENDON | | | | DEFENDANTS | | |
| | | | | COMMONWEALTH FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC., JOHN KOTULA, individually and on behalf of Commonwealth | | |
| (b) County of Residence | of First Listed Plaintiff | | | County of Residence of | of First Listed Defendant | |
| (c) Attorney's (Firm Name, Address, Telephone Number and Email Add Craig Thor Kimmel, Esquire | | | | NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE | | |
| | | | | LAND INVOLVED. | | |
| Kimmel & Silverman, 30 E. Butler Pike | P.C. | | | Attorneys (If Known) | | |
| Ambler, PA 19002 | | | | | | |
| (215) 540-8888 II. BASIS OF JURISI | ICTION (Blood on "V" ; | n One Box Only) | lm c | | RINCIPAL PART | TES(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff |
| | | ii Olie Box Oliiy) | | (For Diversity Cases Only) | | and One Box for Defendant) |
| ☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff | ■ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government) | Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party) | | | | PTF DEF d or Principal Place |
| ☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant | ☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III) | | Citize | en of Another State | | and Principal Place |
| | | | | en or Subject of a reign Country | 3 🗇 3 Foreign Nat | ion |
| IV. NATURE OF SUI | TOP | ıly) ₹T\$ | S I FO | DRFEITURE/PENALTY « | BANKRUPTCY | OTHER STATUTES |
| ☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine | PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane | PERSONAL INJUR 362 Personal Injury | Y 🗆 61 | 0 Agriculture 0 Other Food & Drug | 422 Appeal 28 USC 15 423 Withdrawal | 8 |
| ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument | ☐ 315 Airplane Product Liability | Med, Malpractice □ 365 Personal Injury - | | 25 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 | 28 USC 157 | ☐ 430 Banks and Banking☐ 450 Commerce |
| ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment | | Product Liability ☐ 368 Asbestos Persona | | 0 Liquor Laws 0 R.R. & Truck | PROPERTY RIGHTS 820 Copyrights | |
| ☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted | ☐ 330 Federal Employers' Liability | Injury Product Liability | | 60 Airline Regs. 60 Occupational | ☐ 830 Patent ☐ 840 Trademark | Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit |
| Student Loans | ☐ 340 Marine | PERSONAL PROPER | TY | Safety/Health | | ☐ 490 Cable/Sat TV |
| (Excl. Veterans) ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment | | ☐ 370 Other Fraud☐ 371 Truth in Lending | J. 7.7854.0 | O Other LABOR | SOCIAL SECURITY | ☐ 850 Securities/Commodities/ |
| of Veteran's Benefits ☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits | ☐ 350 Motor Vehicle ☐ 355 Motor Vehicle | 380 Other Personal Property Damage | | 0 Fair Labor Standards Act | ☐ 861 HIA (1395ff) ☐ 862 Black Lung (923) | Exchange © 875 Customer Challenge |
| ☐ 190 Other Contract | Product Liability | ☐ 385 Property Damage Product Liability | 72 | 0 Labor/Mgmt. Relations 0 Labor/Mgmt.Reporting | ☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (40: ☐ 864 SSID Title XVI | |
| ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability ☐ 196 Franchise | Injury | | | & Disclosure Act | □ 865 RSI (405(g)) | ☐ 891 Agricultural Acts |
| REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation | ☐ 441 Voting | PRISONER PETITION 510 Motions to Vacat | | 0 Railway Labor Act 0 Other Labor Litigation | FEDERAL TAX SUI 870 Taxes (U.S. Plainti | |
| ☐ 220 Foreclosure | ☐ 442 Employment | Sentence Helena Communi | 1 79 | I Empl. Ret. Inc. | or Defendant) | ☐ 894 Energy Allocation Act ☐ 895 Freedom of Information |
| ☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment☐ 240 Torts to Land | | Habeas Corpus: ☐ 530 General | | Security Act | 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609 | Act |
| ☐ 245 Tort Product Liability ☐ 290 All Other Real Property | | ☐ 535 Death Penalty☐ 540 Mandamus & Oth | | IMMIGRATION 2 Naturalization Application | | 900Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access |
| | Employment | 550 Civil Rights | □ 46 | 3 Habeas Corpus - | | to Justice |
| | Other | ☐ 555 Prison Condition | | Alien Detainee 5 Other Immigration | | ☐ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes |
| | ☐ 440 Other Civil Rights | | | Actions | | |
| 🕱 1 Original 📋 2 Re | | Remanded from | | | Ferred from 6 Mul | Appeal to District Judge from Magistrate |
| | Cite the U.S. Civil Sta | | | Do not cite jurisdictions | <u>[Y]</u> | . judgment |
| VI. CAUSE OF ACTION | parier describitor of ca | use: ction Practices Ac | ct | | | |
| VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: | | IS A CLASS ACTION | - | EMAND \$ | CHECK YES JURY DEMA | only if demanded in complaint: AND: Yes □ No |
| VIII. RELATED CAS | E(S) | | | ß | | |
| | (See instructions): | JUDGE | | // | DOCKET NUMBER | · |
| Explanation: | | | | | _ | |
| DATE | | SIGNATURE O | F ATTOF | RNEY OF RECORD | | |
| 03/21/2013 | | | | 1/1 | | |

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

| ANGELA MCLENDO | ON : | | |
|--|---|--|---|
| _ | | CIVIL ACTION | |
| \ | 7. : | NO. | |
| COMMONWELATH | FINANCIAL : | -,-,- | |
| SYSTEMS, INC., JOH | - | | |
| • | half of Commonwealth: | | |
| Financial Systems, Inc | • | | |
| MUNLEY, Individual | - | | |
| Commonwealth Finan | ciai Systems, inc. | | |
| plaintiff shall complete filing the complaint and side of this form.) In designation, that defend plaintiff and all other pa | a Case Management Tracel serve a copy on all defendant the event that a defendant shall, with its first appearant | Id Delay Reduction Plan of this court, court k Designation Form in all civil cases at the lants. (See § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the nt does not agree with the plaintiff regarding earance, submit to the clerk of court and serve Track Designation Form specifying the track to d. | time of reverse ng said e on the |
| SELECT ONE OF TH | HE FOLLOWING CASE | MANAGEMENT TRACKS: | |
| (a) Habeas Corpus – Ca | ases brought under 28 U.S | C. § 2241 through § 2255. | () |
| | ases requesting review of a s denying plaintiff Social S | decision of the Secretary of Health Security Benefits. | |
| (c) Arbitration – Cases | required to be designated | for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2. | (X) |
| (d) Asbestos – Cases in exposure to asbesto | | al injury or property damage from | |
| commonly referred | to as complex and that nee | nto tracks (a) through (d) that are ad special or intense management by detailed explanation of special | |
| management cases.) | | dotation of special | () |
| (f) Standard Manageme | ent – Cases that do not fall | into any one of the other tracks. | |
| 03/21/2013 Date | Craig Thor Kimmel Attorney-at-law | Plaintiff, Angela McLendon Attorney for | |
| 215-540-8888 Telephone | 877-788-2864 FAX Number | <u>kimmel@creditlaw.com</u> E-Mail Address | |

Case 2:13-cv-01472-FR Document 1 Filed 03/21/13 Page 3 of 9

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA - DESIGNATION FORM to be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to appropriate calendar. Address of Plaintiff: 11402 Woodview Dr., Hagertown, MD 21724 Address of Defendant: 245 Main St., Dickson City, Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: (Use Reverse Side For Additional Space) Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation owning 10% or more of its stock? No.XI (Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a)) $Yes \square$ No⊠ Yes□ Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities? RELATED CASE, IF ANY: Date Terminated: Case Number: Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions: 1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court? 2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court? 3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier numbered case pending or within one year previously Yes□ N_0 terminated action in this court? 4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights case filed by the same individual? No 🔯 CIVIL: (Place 11 ONE CATEGORY ONLY) B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases: A. Federal Question Cases: 1.

Insurance Contract and Other Contracts 1.

Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts □ Airplane Personal Injury 2. D FELA 3.

Jones Act-Personal Injury 3.

Assault, Defamation 4. □ Antitrust 4.

Marine Personal Injury 5.

Motor Vehicle Personal Injury 5. □ Patent 6.

Labor-Management Relations Other Personal Injury (Please specify) 7. D Civil Rights 7. □ Products Liability 8. □ Products Liability — Asbestos 8. □ Habeas Corpus 9. □ All other Diversity Cases 9. □ Securities Act(s) Cases 10. □ Social Security Review Cases (Please specify) 11. M All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify) 15 U.S.C. § 1692 ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION (Check Appropriate Category) ц Craiq Thor Kimmel , counsel of repord do hereby certify: □ Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best bf my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs; □ Relief other than monetary damages is sought. 57100 DATE: 03/21/2013 Attomey-at-Law NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38. how pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case except as noted above. 57100 DATE: 03/21/2013 Attorney I.D.# Attorney-at-Law

CIV. 609 (5/2012)

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE 2 EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA 3 ANGELA MCLENDON, 4 Plaintiff. 5 v. 6 COMMONWEALTH FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC., Case No.: 7 COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JOHN KOTULA, individually and on behalf 8 JURY TRIAL of Commonwealth Financial Systems, Inc., 9 (Unlawful Debt Collection Practices) DAVID MUNLEY, individually and on 10 behalf of Commonwealth financial systems, Inc., 11 Defendants. 12 13 ANGELA MCLENDON ("Plaintiff"), by her attorneys, KIMMEL & SILVERMAN, 14 P.C., alleges the following against COMMONWEALTH FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC., JOHN 15 KOTULA, individually and on behalf of Commonwealth Financial Systems, Inc., and DAVID 16 17 MUNLEY, individually and on behalf of Commonwealth Financial systems, Inc. 18 ("Defendants"): 19 INTRODUCTION 20 1. Plaintiff's Complaint is based on the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 21 U.S.C. § 1692 et seg. ("FDCPA"). 22 JURISDICTION AND VENUE 23 2. Jurisdiction of this court arises pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d), which states 24 that such actions may be brought and heard before "any appropriate United States district court 25

without regard to the amount in controversy," and 28 U.S.C. § 1331 grants this court original jurisdiction of all civil actions arising under the laws of the United States.

- 3. Defendants conduct business in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, and as such, personal jurisdiction is established.
 - 4. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(1).

PARTIES

- 5. Plaintiff is a natural person who at all relevant times was residing in Langhorne, Pennsylvania.
 - 6. Plaintiff is a "consumer" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. §1692a(3).
- 7. Defendant Commonwealth Financial Systems, Inc. ("CFS") is a national debt collection company with its corporate headquarters located at 245 Main Street, Dickson City, Pennsylvania 18519.
- 8. Defendant John Kotula ("Kotula") is the Chief Executive Officer of CFS, and at all relevant times controlled the actions of CFS and all of its employees.
- 9. Defendant David Munley ("Munley") is a debt collector employed by CFS, and is the individual directly responsible for taking the actions described below.
- 10. Defendants are "debt collector[s]" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6), as they directly and indirectly attempted to collect a debt from Plaintiff.
- 11. Defendants acted through their agents, employees, officers, members, directors, heirs, successors, assigns, principals, trustees, sureties, subrogees, representatives, and insurers.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

12. At all relevant times, Defendants contacted Plaintiff in an attempt to collect a

consumer debt.

- 13. The alleged debt at issue, a CitiBank Master Card (hereinafter "the Debt"), arose out of transactions which were primarily for personal, family, or household purposes.
- 14. By way of background, Defendant CFS sued Plaintiff over the Debt in the Philadelphia Court of Common Pleas in April 2005. See Exhibit A, Docket Report for Case No. 050403625.
- 15. During that action, Defendant CFS failed to appear at a scheduled arbitration and failed to prosecute its action. See Id.
- 16. As a result, on December 19, 2005, the Philadelphia Court of Common Pleas entered a Judgment Non Pros. See Id.
 - 17. Defendant CFS did not obtain a judgment against Plaintiff in that action.
 - 18. There is no judgment against Plaintiff for the Debt.
- 19. Nevertheless, beginning in July 2012, Defendants contacted Plaintiff by telephone and through the mail in an attempt to collect the Debt.
 - 20. Defendant Munley spoke with Plaintiff over the telephone about the Debt.
- 21. In his conversations with Plaintiff, Defendant Munley deceptively claimed to Plaintiff that she was sued over the Debt in 2011.
- 22. Defendant Munley stated that Defendants had obtained a judgment and implied that Plaintiff's vehicle would be seized if she did not pay the Debt.
- 23. Contrary to these assertions, Plaintiff was not sued for the Debt in 2011, and the claim referred to involved the 2005 litigation which resulted in a judgment non pros against Defendant CFS—not a monetary judgment adverse to Plaintiff.
 - 24. In a further attempt to collect the debt, Defendants sent Plaintiff a letter

demanding payment on July 19, 2012. See Exhibit B, the July 19, 2012, letter to Plaintiff.

- 25. In this letter, Defendants state that a judgment has been secured against Plaintiff.
- 26. Further, Defendants state that they would execute on that judgment if payment were not made and stated that failure to pay would result in additional legal fees.
- 27. Defendants' reference to a judgment *against* Plaintiff in its letter is false and misleading, as no such judgment exists. In fact, the case number referenced on Defendants' July 19, 2012, letter is for the 2005 case that resulted in the case being dismissed for Defendants' failure to prosecute.
- 28. Defendants' threat to execute against Plaintiff is false and misleading as no judgment exists to execute.
- 29. Finally, Defendants' reference to additional legal fees that would arise as a result of executing is false and misleading as Defendants cannot charge fees for executing a judgment that does not exist.
- 30. At the time that Defendants threatened to seize Plaintiff's vehicle, they could not legally take such action.
- 31. At the time that Defendants threatened to execute on a judgment against Plaintiff, they could not legally take such action.

DEFENDANT VIOLATED THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT COUNT I

32. Defendants' conduct, detailed in the preceding paragraphs, violated 15 U.S.C. §§1692e, 1692e(2)(A), 1692(e)(4) and 1692e(5).

- 10
- 11

- 19
- 21 22
- 23
- 24
- 25

- Section 1692e of the FDCPA prohibits a debt collector from using any a. false, deceptive, or misleading representations or means in connection with the collection of any debt.
- Section 1692e(2)(A) of the FDCPA prohibits a debt collector from falsely b. representing the character, amount, or legal status of any debt.
- Section 1692e(4) of the FDCPA prohibits a debt collector from c. representing or implying that nonpayment of any debt will result in the arrest or imprisonment of any person or the seizure, garnishment, attachment, or sale of any property or wages of any person unless such action is lawful and the debt collector or credit intends to take such action.
- d. Section 1692e(5) of the FDCPA prohibits a debt collector from threatening to take any action that cannot legally be taken or that is no intended to be taken.
- Here, Defendants violated §§1692e, 1692e(2)(A), 1692e(4) and 1692e(5) e. of the FDCPA when they falsely stated that they possessed a judgment against Plaintiff, implied that they intended to seize Plaintiff's vehicle in order to satisfy that judgment, stated in their correspondence that they intended to execute on the judgment if Plaintiff did not pay, and stated that Plaintiff would be subject to additional fees if Defendants executed on the non-existent judgment.

COUNT II

33. Defendant's conduct, as detailed in the preceding paragraphs, violated 15 U.S.C. §1692f.

25

- a. A debt collector violates §1692f of the FDCPA by using unfair or unconscionable means to collect or attempt to collect any debt.
- b. Here, Defendant violated §1692f of the FDCPA by engaging in other unfair and unconscionable debt collection practices, including attempting to collect upon a judgment when there was no judgment.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, ANGELA MCLENDON, respectfully prays for a judgment as follows:

- a. All actual damages suffered pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(1);
- b. Statutory damages of \$1,000.00 for the violation of the FDCPA pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(2)(A);
- c. All reasonable attorneys' fees, witness fees, court costs and other litigation costs incurred by Plaintiff pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1693k(a)(3); and
- d. Any other relief deemed appropriate by this Honorable Court.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Plaintiff ANGELA MCLENDON, demands a jury trial in this case.

DATED: 03/21/2013

RESPECTFUILLY SUBMITTED,

KIMMEL & SILVERMAN, P.C.

By:

CRAIG THOR KIMMEL

Attorney ID # 57100

Kimmel & Silverman, P.C.

30 E. Butler Pike

Ambler, PA 19002 Phone: (215) 540-8888

Fax: (877) 788-2864

Email: kimmel@creditlaw.com